the operation and maintenance of the LRGFCP.

The No Action Alternative is for Quisto to not construct, operate, and maintain a gas well within the Main Floodway of the LRGFCP. The no action alternative will not require the USIBWC to issue a license since no work will be done within the LRGFCP. The no action alternative will result in the denial of access to the mineral owner to rightfully owned minerals, loss of tax revenues to the State of Texas, and result in an unrecoverable clean energy source.

The Directional Well Alternative is for Quisto to drill a well from outside the Main Floodway to a depth below the proposed surface location. The directional well alternative will not require the USIBWC to issue a license since no work will be done within the LRGFCP. The directional well alternative is considered not workable because of technical problems associated with a bottomhole location some 305 meters or more from the surface location and subsurface geological hazards endemic to the area.

Finding of the Final Environmental Assessment

The Final EA finds that the proposed action for Quisto to construct, operate, and maintain a gas well within the Main Floodway of the USIBWC LRGFCP (and the USIBWC to issue a license for such work) does not constitute a major federal action which would cause a significant local, regional, or national adverse impact on the environment based on the following facts:

1. The United States Army Corps of Engineers has determined that no waters of the United States including wetlands will be impacted by the proposed gas well and related features.

2. The United States Fish and Wildlife Service has determined that federally listed endangered or threatened species are unlikely to be adversely affected by the proposed gas well and related features.

3. The Texas Historical Commission and Department of Antiquities Protection has determined that no survey is required and the project may proceed.

4. The USIBWC has determined that the proposed gas well and related features will have no significant effect upon the flood carrying capacity of the Main Floodway.

Availability

Single copies of the Final Environmental Assessment and Final Finding of No Significant Impact may be obtained by request at the above address. Dated: May 4, 1995.

Suzette Zaboroski,

Staff Counsel.

[FR Doc. 95–11840 Filed 5–12–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4710–03–M

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Finance Docket No. 32673]

California Northern Railroad Company Limited Partnership—Trackage Rights—Southern Pacific Transportation Company

Southern Pacific Transportation Company (SPT) has agreed to grant overhead trackage rights to California Northern Railroad Company Limited Partnership (CNR) over approximately 28.95 miles of rail line. The trackage rights will permit CNR to operate over: (1) A portion of SPT's line known as the Sacramento Line from Davis, CA, at SPT's milepost 75.4 to Suisun-Fairfield, CA, at SPT's milepost 47.8; (2) a portion of SPT's line known as the West Valley Line which includes trackage at Davis, CA, from SPT's milepost 75.58 to SPT's milepost 75.4; and (3) a portion of SPT's line known as the Shellville Branch which includes trackage at Suisun-Fairfield, CA, from SPT's milepost 48.97 to SPT's milepost 47.8. The trackage rights will include the double track currently in place on the Sacramento Line, all operating sidings used for the purpose of meeting and passing trains, and SPT-owned portions of existing connections. The trackage rights were to become effective on or after May 1, 1995.

The proposed transaction will facilitate more economic and efficient operations by permitting direct movement of CNR's trains between Davis and Suisun-Fairfield. CNR currently interchanges traffic with SPT at Davis and at Suisun-Fairfield for trains moving from the West Valley Line to the Shellville Branch. The trackage rights will allow CNR to conduct operations between these two lines without incurring the cost and delay of two interchanges.

This notice is filed under 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(7). If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not stay the transaction. Pleadings must be filed with the Commission and served on: Mark H. Sidman, 1350 New York Avenue, N.W., Suite 800, Washington, DC 20005–4797.

As a condition to the use of this exemption, any employees adversely affected by the trackage rights will be protected under *Norfolk and Western Ry. Co.—Trackage Rights—BN*, 354 I.C.C. 605 (1978), as modified in *Mendocino Coast Ry., Inc.—Lease and Operate*, 360 I.C.C. 653 (1980).

Decided: May 9, 1995.

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–11900 Filed 5–12–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7035–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

National Institute of Justice

[OJP (NIJ) No.1051]

ZRIN 1121-ZA14

National Institute of Justice Solicitation for Policing Research and Evaluation

AGENCY: U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Justice Programs, National Institute of Justice.

ACTION: Announcement of the availability of the National Institute of Justice Solicitation for Policing Research and Evaluation.

ADDRESSES: National Institute of Justice, 633 Indiana Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20531.

DATES: The deadline for receipt of proposals is close of business on July 14, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Winifred Reed, Lois Mock, or Robert Langworthy at (202) 307–0499, National Institute of Justice, 633 Indiana Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20531.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following supplementary information is provided:

Authority

This action is authorized under the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, sections 201–03, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 3721–23 (1988).

Background

The National Institute of Justice is soliciting proposals for policing research and evaluation. The focus is on proposals responsive to Title I of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994. Interested organizations should call the National Criminal Justice Reference Service (NCJRS) at 1–800–851–3420 to obtain a copy of "NIJ Invites Proposals for

Policing Research and Evaluation'' (refer to document no. SL000122). The solicitation is available electronically via the NCJRS Bulletin Board, which can be accessed via Internet. Telnet to ncjrsbbs.aspensys.com, or gopher to ncjrs.aspensys.com 71. Those without Internet access can dial the NCJRS Bulletin Board via modem: dial 301–738–8895. Set modem at 9600 baud, 8–N–1.

Jeremy Travis,

Director, National Institute of Justice.
[FR Doc. 95–11846 Filed 5–12–95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–18–P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of a Change in Status of an Extended Benefit (EB) Period for the State of Rhode Island

This notice announces a change in benefit period eligibility under the EB Program for the State of Rhode Island.

Summary

The following change has occurred since the publication of the last notice regarding States' EB status:

• April 9, 1995—Rhode Island's 13week insured unemployment rate for the week ending March 25, 1995 rose above 6.0 percent, causing the State to trigger "on" EB effective April 9, 1995.

Information for Claimants

The duration of benefits payable in the EB Program, and the terms and conditions on which they are payable, are governed by the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970, as amended, and the operating instructions issued to the States by the U.S. Department of Labor. In the case of a State beginning an EB period, the State employment security agency will furnish a written notice of potential entitlement to each individual who has exhausted all rights to regular benefits and is potentially eligible for extended benefits (20 CFR 615.13(c)(1)).

Persons who believe they may be entitled to EB benefits, or who wish to inquire about the rights under the programs, should contact the nearest State employment service office or unemployment compensation claims office in their locality.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on May 8, 1995.

Doug Ross,

Assistant Secretary of Labor for Employment and Training.

[FR Doc. 95–11881 Filed 5–12–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

NATIONAL CAPITAL PLANNING COMMISSION

Intent To Prepare Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: National Capital Planning Commission.

ACTION: Proposed construction and operation of a sports and entertainment arena in Washington, DC.

SUMMARY: In a Notice of Intent published in the **Federal Register** on January 13, 1995 (60 FR 3273), the **National Capital Planning Commission** advised that in conjunction with the District of Columbia Government it was conducting an Environmental Assessment for the proposed construction and operation of a new sports and entertainment area in Washington, DC. The Notice stated that if it became apparent, either through the scoping process or during the analysis and documentation of environmental impacts, that an Environmental Impact Statement was the appropriate environmental document, a Supplemental Notice would be issued.

A Draft Environmental Assessment was published on March 31, 1995, with a comment period closing on May 1, 1995. The National Capital Planning Commission (Commission) and the District of Columbia Government now announce their intent to prepare an **Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)** for the proposed D.C. Arena pursuant to Section 106(2)(c) of the National Environment Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508), and in accordance with the Environmental Policies and Procedures implemented by the Commission.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As indicated in the January 13, 1995
Notice, all comments and responses on the scope of alternatives and potential impacts received in response to that notice as well as those received during the scoping process, the public meeting held February 13, 1995, and in response to the Draft EA will be considered in the EIS. The public is encouraged to provide additional comments once the Draft EIS is released. The Commission

anticipates that release date to be in mid-June 1995.

The EIS will analyze the environmental impacts and mitigation options associated with the construction and operation of a sports and entertainment arena that would seat approximately 20,600 persons and would be located in downtown Washington, DC. In addition, the EIS will consider alternative actions. At present, those alternatives may include (1) Construction of a new arena at the Gallery Place site which includes the following: Square 455 which is bounded by G Street NW., 6th Street NW., F Street NW. and 7th Street NW.; the 600 block of G Street NW.; and approximately the southern fifth of Square 454 which is bounded by H Street NW., 6th Street NW., G Street NW., and 7th Street NW. (2) Construction of a new arena over the air rights behind Union Station; (3) A No Action Alternative, which would result in no new construction in Washington, DC. Topics for environmental analysis will include short-term constructionrelated impacts; long-term effects on historic resources, visual resources. public transportation, traffic and parking, socio-economic conditions, land use, and physical-biological resources within the project area, and the cumulative impacts associated with this and other reasonably foreseeable projects.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION PLEASE CONTACT: National Capital Planning Commission, 801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Suite 301, Washington, DC. 20576. Attention: Ms. Sandra H. Shapiro, General Counsel, Phone: (202) 724– 0174.

Sandra H. Shapiro,

General Counsel, National Capital Planning Commission.

[FR Doc. 95–11898 Filed 5–12–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7502–02–M

District of Columbia Historic Preservation Review Board

AGENCY: National Capital Planning Commission.

ACTION: Proposed sports and entertainment arena; public meeting on historic issues; change of date.

SUMMARY: In a notice published on April 25, 1995 (60 FR 20288), the National Capital Planning Commission (Commission) announced that as part of the State Historic Preservation Officer's Review of the potential effects on historic properties of the proposed sports and entertainment arena, the Historic Preservation Review Board